



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*28*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,237	07/12/2001	Zilan Shen	INTL-0582-US (P11591)	2116

7590 01/14/2004

Timothy N. Trop  
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.  
8554 KATY FWY, STE. 100  
HOUSTON, TX 77024-1805

EXAMINER

SOWARD, IDA M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2822

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/904,237

Applicant(s)

SHEN, ZILAN

Examiner

Ida M Soward

Art Unit

2822

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 October 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-17 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 and 18-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_ 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the remarks filed April 22, 2003.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art Figures 7-8 in view of Silvestre (US 6,476,563 B2).

Admitted Prior Art Figures 7-8 teach a display **10** comprising: a transparent first electrode (row) **12**; a second electrode (column) **14**; and an organic light emitting material **16** between the first and second electrodes. However, Admitted Prior Art Figures 7-8 fail to teach a fuse between an electrode and light emitting material. Silvestre teaches a display with a fuse **10** between an electrode and a light emitting material **11** (Figures 1-2, cols. 2-3, lines 16-67 and 1-20, respectively). Also, it is within the level of ordinary skill to interchange columns with rows and rows with columns. Since Admitted Prior Art Figures 7-8 and Silvestre are both from the same field of endeavor (fuse structures), the purpose disclosed by Silvestre would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Admitted Prior Art Figures 7-8. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to modify the OLED of Admitted Prior Art Figures 7-8 with the fuse as taught by Silvestre to eliminate dark spots (abstract).

Claims 4, 7-9, 18-22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art Figures 7-8 and Silvestre (US 6,476,563 B2) as applied to claims 1-3 and 5-6 above, and further in view of Marr et al. (US 2002/0005564 A1).

Admitted Prior Art Figures 7-8 and Silvestre teach all mentioned in the rejection above. Silvestre further teaches the fuse 10 including a contact that contacts the organic light emitting material 11, the fuse including a fusible element between the contact and the non-transparent electrode (Figures 1-2, cols. 2-3, lines 16-67 and 1-20, respectively). However, Admitted Prior Art Figures 7-8 and Silvestre fail to teach a fuse formed as a reduced width section of a non-transparent electrode. Marr et al. teach a fuse **20** formed as a reduced width section of a non-transparent electrode **24** (Figure 1A, pages 3-4, paragraphs [0040]-[0046]). Marr et al. further teach the fuse **20** extending transversely from a first electrode (Figures 1A-1B) and electrodes 224 & 226 deposited on a transparent sheet 212 (page 5, paragraphs [0058]-[0059]) . Since Admitted Prior Art Figures 7-8, Silvestre and Marr et al. are from the same field of endeavor (fuse structures), the purpose disclosed by Marr et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Admitted Prior Art Figures 7-8 and Silvestre. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the OLED of Admitted Prior Art Figures 7-8 and the

fuse of Silvestre with the reduced width section as taught by Marr et al. to provide the simplest and most compact means of programming a semiconductor device (page 1, paragraph [0008]).

Claims 10 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior Art Figures 7-8, Silvestre (US 6,476,563 B2) and Marr et al. (US 2002/0005564 A1) as applied to claims 1-9, 18-22 and 25 above, and further in view of Hilpert (5,859,772).

Admitted Prior Art Figures 7-8, Silvestre and Marr et al. teach all mentioned in the rejection above. Marr et al. further teach the fuse formed of the same material as the electrode (page 4, paragraphs [0041] and [0045]). However, Admitted Prior Art Figures 7-8, Silvestre, and Marr et al. fail to teach a fuse formed of a material that fails by electron migration when the current density through the fuse exceeds a limit. Hilpert teaches a fuse formed of a material that fails by electron migration when the current density through the fuse exceeds a limit (Abstract). Since Admitted Prior Art Figures 7-8, Silvestre, Marr et al. and Hilpert are from the same field of endeavor (fuse structures), the purpose disclosed by Hilpert would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Admitted Prior Art Figures 7-8, Silvestre and Marr et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the OLED of Admitted Prior Art Figures 7-8, the fuse of Silvestre, the reduced width section of Marr et al. with the failing fuse as taught by Hilpert to interrupt a short circuit current (abstract).

***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 and 18-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to fuse structures:


Taussig et al. (US 2002/0126526 A1)

Bajor (4,670,970).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ida M Soward whose telephone number is 571-272-1845. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 571-272-1852.

ims  
January 12, 2004

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ida M. Soward', is written over a faint, rectangular stamp. The signature is slanted upwards to the right.